

Sept 27 - 65

DIA Declassification/Release Instructions on File

1. Minutes approved

2. Turn off front page - make it final

after 2 pages reflecting NPIC changes
& CAA comments

all COMB tests completed

3. CAA Rpt. Comment -

- ~~Bay~~ of reservations - OK -
admin description- conditions - not Team's
business. - don't want to
get into execution of -
other than as monitoring
& emergency

Security Problems - Procedures

6 min this - auto death unless spec-

specifically included by COMB.

STATINTL

Chair & Scty to draft / new Transmitted
minor & changes reflecting Teams concern -
pursued on various points discussed

Team shouldn't be extended to work out
execution problems - but for interpreta-
tion of report & for no other pur-
pose

Letter to COW/B

Final Rpt.

Ref = Interim Rpt


- - Attached - Final Rpt., with substitution page - - new date -

Pg 21 - old/new NPIC
Pg - Revised $\frac{1}{2}$ pg Summary -

- - - Transmittal memo - content

met 27 Sept '65 - - - removed all
duplicates, of CIA Ref TRV Rpt
Released at CIA Acceptance -
TA

1. Sub-Rpt. COW.
2. CIA summary conditions



In the Letter of Transmittal: substitute for paragraph 7

"Subsequent to the submission of the Task Team's Interim Report, several questions were raised by Mr. Paul A. Borel and others as to the possible impact of the adoption by the intelligence community of the four identification elements recommended by the Task Team. Mr. Borel was interested in ~~the~~ costs, in the advantages and disadvantages of standardization versus non-standardization and in other matters pertaining to implementation and operation of a ~~maximum~~ uniform procedure throughout the intelligence community. An examination by the Task Team resulted in the conclusion that the advantages of standardization greatly offset the disadvantages thereof. The Task Team was unable to estimate costs which might be involved."

Interim Report--Summary. Change the date to 28 September 1965.

Page 1: Change "Interim" to "Final."

Paragraph 3 of the Summary: Change "Interim" to "Final."

Paragraph 4 of Summary: Delete and substitute: "On one point and one point alone, the Task Team was unable to reach unanimous agreement previous to submission of its Interim Report. Subsequently, due to the activities of the Task Team, and with the active participation of representatives ~~of~~ from Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Photographic Interpretation Center, and appropriate elements of the Central Intelligence Agency, this matter was resolved to the satisfaction of all concerned. The solution reached resulted from adoption by DIA of NPIC's system for naming of research and development sites and the acceptance by CIA/NPIC of DIA's naming procedures for operational missile sites."

Interim Report: Change date to 28 September 1965. Change "Interim" to "Final." Serial number to be changed to "T/IV/R-2."

~~PAGE~~

Page 21 of Interim Report, add at end of paragraph (b): "A single system covering both test sites and operational sites was finally agreed upon as a result of a series of meetings, the last one of which was chaired by Mr. Paul Borel. This system recommended for adoption by the intelligence community provides for the ~~naming~~ of test sites in terms of a place name plus a letter suffix (the so-called NPIC System) and for operational sites, a place name followed by a number (the so-called DIA System). This solution was ratified by a memorandum of agreement between CIA and DIA."

Cancel paragraph (c) and change (d) to (c) on the same page.

1 The TI is convinced that effective dischargeⁱⁿ of CDRB's responsibilities in the Ins that are can best be accomplished by cleanly separating the problem formulation and recommended solution stage from the implementation and development stage.

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000500010002-6

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000500010002-6

implementation within the Intelligence Community of the systems recommended. CIA's statement that it cannot adopt the system until all security aspects are settled would not serve to speed up ~~expend~~ implementation. Additionally, CIA's suggestion that Task Team IV develop a country code acceptable to the Intelligence Community overlooks two facts:

- a. This task has been assigned to Task Team I and not to Task Team IV;
- b. CODIB has agreed that action on the recommendations of Task Team IV would not be held up pending resolution of the country code problem. The main issue, however, rests in resolution of the problems inherent in the placing of the recommended system into an ~~open~~ operational status.

III Supporting Thoughts

12. The Task Team has concluded that effective discharge of the responsibilities of CODIB can best be discharged ~~thru~~ through a sharp line of demarcation between the task, which has now been discharged by the Task Team, of the formulation of elements required for the positive identification of installations and geographic features of intelligence interest and the task of application of the system so recommended to the operations of the affected elements in the Intelligence Community.

13. Having completed its task, it is the desire of Task Team IV's members that it be permitted to retire from the field with the Team itself being retained on paper, for a reasonable period (not to exceed six months) to enable reactivation at any time should the views of the Task Team on interpretation of any portion of its Final Report be desired by CODIB, CIA, or DIA engaged in converting the recommendations of the Task Team into an operationally functioning arrangement.

II. The CIA-DIA Case:

10. The recommendation has been made by CIA that DIA should be designated as the "Executive Agent" for the implementation of the recommended elements for positive identification. It appears most ~~appropriate~~ appropriate that details of the terms and conditions of such an Executive Agency can best be resolved through delegation, under CODIB control, for agreement between CIA and DIA in such a manner as to permit of the maximum of efficiency, at the lowest possible cost, in the best interests of the Intelligence Community, without detriment to the operational relationships between DIA and the Unified and Specified Commands while, at the same time, meeting ^{the legitimate} ~~the legitimate~~ requirements of the Intelligence Community.

11. Finally, with respect to the views of the Central Intelligence ~~Agency~~ Agency, as incorporated in Enclosure B to CODIB D-111-1.4/3: Although the views of the Task Team, as such, have not been requested with respect to this document, the following comments ~~may~~ will, we hope, prove to be of some assistance. The Task Team is of the opinion that the reservations expressed by CIA are very reasonable indeed and that such reservations should be applicable to any agency concerned. Thus, any agency properly should have the right to carry alternate ~~plans~~ values for elements in its own information records, for its own purposes; its agency should have the right to exercise autonomy as to the depth of application of the elements or to add other identifying or descriptive elements for its own purposes. Each agency also should exercise autonomy as to the rate of implementation/conversion scheduling, etc. On the other hand, in the ~~y~~ judgment of the Task Team, the conditions for agreement expressed by CIA, if adopted, might not serve to expedite

7. In accordance with the instructions of CODIB, the Task Team has canvassed the appropriate elements of the Intelligence Community for informal reactions to the Team's Interim Report. This revealed general acceptance on the part of all elements save and except for the reactions of the Central Intelligence Agency. The latter has now furnished a formal statement of CIA's reactions on which CODIB has requested the views of the Defense Intelligence Agency. It is understood by the Task Team that CODIB monitor for DIA, is currently engaged in monitoring the formulation of paper. DIA's reactions to the CIA PAPER. The Team believes that the two agencies are in substantial agreement with the Team Report and that subsequent discussions will resolve the remaining differences.

25X1A

8. Implementation: The Task Team is aware of the fact that actual implementation entails many problems, both on the part of the proposed Executive Agent and the other elements of the Intelligence Community, but that none of the problems involved are incapable of solution in a manner meeting the needs of the Intelligence Community.

9. Subsequent to the submission of its Interim Report, the Task Team received a number of questions with respect to its Interim Report, raised by Mr. Paul A. Borel and others. Mr. Borel was interested in the advantages and disadvantages of standardization versus non-standardization, in the costs involved, and so on. The Task Team concluded that the advantages of uniformity far outweigh the drawbacks of non-standardization. The Task Team was also unable to make any estimate of costs.

Possible additional tasks ~~para~~ para.

The letter of transmittal covering the Task Team's Interim Report suggested, for your consideration, possible Future Tasks. However, Memorandum dated 22 June to Chairman, CODIB by of the CODIB Support Staff, recommended that the Task Team not pursue any of the follow-on activities mentioned. The suggestions made in CIA's Reaction Paper, dated 16 August for certain follow-up actions, have been noted carefully. However, these all lie in the field of modifications and implementation and properly are assignable to a small task group which should be established for the purpose of working out operational agreements between CODIB, CIA and DIA.

25X1A